UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V.	
	Victor Martinez-Sanchez Defendant	Case No. 1:11-cr-00254-PLM
	Determant	
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reformation be detained pending trial.	orm Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Fin	dings of Fact
(1)		1 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of at would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 31 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	56(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is	death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of	ten years or more is prescribed in:*
	a felony committed after the defendant had bee U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	n convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but inv a minor victim	
	the possession or use of a firearm of a failure to register under 18 U.S.C.	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon § 2250
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed who relocal offense.	nile the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumperson or the community. I further find that defendant	ption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another has not rebutted that presumption.
	•	Findings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant	
、 /	for which a maximum prison term of ten years o Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et se	r more is prescribed in:
(0)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)	will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance an	
/ (1)	Alternative There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appe	Findings (B)
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endange	
(∠)		he Reasons for Detention
		e detention hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing
evidence	a preponderance of the evidence that: ndant waived his detention hearing, electing not to conf	
	ndant is subject to an immigration detainer and would n	
3. Defer	ndant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to	the court's attention should his circumstances change.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	September 12, 2011	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	